Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
APR 30 1966
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

No. 1269

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

WASHINGTON STATE BOWLING PROPRIETORS ASSOCIATION, INC., a corporation, PIERCE-OLYMPIC BOWLING PROPRIETORS ASSOCIATION, INC., a corporation, TOWER LANES, INC., a corporation, BOWLERO, INC., a corporation, DAFFODIL BOWL, INC., a corporation, PARADISE BOWL, INC., a corporation, C. A. LOYD and JANE DOE LOYD, his wife, d/b/a SIXTH AVENUE LANES, THEODORE TADICH and JANE DOE TADICH, his wife, Dez ISAACSON and JANE DOE ISAACSON, his wife, KENNETH KULM and JANE DOE KULM, his wife, PHILLIP CUNNINGHAM and JANE DOE CUNNINGHAM, his wife, CLEVE REDIG and JANE DOE REDIG, his wife, and ART UNKRUR and JANE DOE UNKRUR, his wife,

Petitioners,

vs.

PACIFIC LANES, INC., a corporation,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SAMUEL W. BLOCK
KENNETH J. BURNS, JR.
Of Raymond Mayer, Jenner & Block
Suite 3300
135 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Attorneys for Petitioners

T. PATRICK CORBETT
C. R. LONERGAN, JR.
Of Corbett, Siderius & Lonergan
847 Logan Building
Seattle, Washington 98101

RICHARD H. WELS
Of Moss, Wels & Marcus
341 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Of Counsel

UNITED STATES LAW PRINTING CO., CHICAGO 18, ILL. LAkeview 5-6581

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Opinions Below	2
Jurisdiction	2
Questions Presented	2
The Constitutional Provision, Statutes, and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Involved	4
Statement of the Case	7
Bowling	10
Bowling Tournaments	11
Tournament Eligibility Rules	12
Reasons for Granting the Writ	15
I. By Applying The *Per Se* Rule of Antitrust Liability To An Eligibility Rule for Recreational Tournaments, The Court of Appeals Has Misinterpreted And Grossly Extended This Court's Decisions In the Group Boycott Field. Moreover, Its Decision Conflicts With Other Decisions Which Have Properly Construed and Applied This Court's Decisions	15
A. The Nature of The Eligibility Rule	16
B. This Court's Decisions Concerning Group Boycotts Involved Conduct Entirely Different From That Involved Here	18
C. The Court Of Appeals' Decision Conflicts With The Decision of Another Panel of the Same Court	23

PAGE

D. The Court of Appeals' Decision Also Conflicts With Other Decisions 24

II. The *Per Se* Rule Has Been Applied So As To Deprive Petitioners Of A Jury Determination Of The Factual Basis Of Their Liability 29

A. The Charge Instructed The Jury That The Eligibility Rule Was A *Per Se* Violation 31

B. The Improper Applications Of The *Per Se* Rule Were Not Harmless Errors. The Prejudice Arising From the Erroneous Boycott Instruction Infected The Section 2 Verdict As Well as That Under Section 1 33

III. The Holding That The Requisite Effect Upon Commerce Was Present Conflicts With The Lieberthal Decision 36

IV. The Recovery of Post-Filing Date Damages Conflicts With Other Decisions and With the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 38

A. The Proceedings In The District Court 38

B. The Decision Conflicts With Other Decisions 39

C. The Decision Conflicts With Federal Rules 15(d) and 16 41

Conclusion 44

Appendix A: District Court's Memorandum Opinion Filed March 9, 1965 .....App. 1

Appendix B: Opinion of the Court of Appeals For the Ninth Circuit, February 2, 1966 .....App. 18

Appendix C: Opinion of the Court of Appeals For the Ninth Circuit in *Deesen* v. *P.G.A.*, February 23, 1966 .....App. 35

PAGE

Appendix D: Excerpts from Opinions in *Lieberthal* v. *North Country Lanes, Inc.* .....App. 51

Appendix E: Respondent's Exhibit 259 summarizing the damages claimed .....App. 56

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases Cited

Basevi v. Edward O'Toole Co., 26 F.Supp. 41 (S.D. N.Y. 1939) 41

Berssenbrugge v. Luce Mfg. Co., 30 F.Supp. 101 (W.D. Mo. 1939) 43

Bush v. Pioneer Mining Co., 179 Fed. 78 (9th Cir. 1910) 43

Chicago Board of Trade v. United States, 246 U.S. 231, 38 S.Ct. 242, 62 L.Ed. 683 (1917) 18, 23, 25

City of Texarkana v. Arkansas Gas Co., 306 U.S. 188, 59 S.Ct. 448, 83 L.Ed. 598 (1938) 41

Deesen v. Professional Golfers Association of America, ... F.2d ..... (9th Cir. 1966) (Appendix C.) 23, 24

Fashion Originators' Guild of America v. Federal Trade Commission, 312 U.S. 457, 61 S.Ct. 703, 85 L.Ed. 949 (1941) 19

Flintkote Company v. Lysfjord, 246 F.2d 368 (9th Cir. 1957), cert. denied 355 U.S. 835 (1957) 40

Hazeltine Corp. v. R.C.A., 20 F.Supp. 668 (S.D. N.Y. (1937) 41

Howard v. Jennings, 141 F.2d 193 (8th Cir. 1944) 41

Independent Iron Works, Inc., v. United States Steel Corporation, 322 F.2d 656 (9th Cir. 1963), cert. denied 375 U.S. 922 (1963) 34, 40

PAGE

Klor's, Inc. v. Broadway-Hale Stores, 359 U.S. 207, 79 S.Ct. 705, 3 L.Ed. 2d 741 (1959) 19, 21, 27

Lawlor v. Loewe, 235 U.S. 522, 35 S.Ct. 170, 59 L.Ed. 341 (1915) 42

Lawlor v. National Screen Service Corp., 238 F.2d 59 3d Cir. 1956), judgment vacated on other grounds 352 U.S. 992 (1957) 35

Lieberthal v. North Country Lanes, Inc., 332 F.2d 269 (2d Cir. 1964), affirming 221 F.Supp. 685 (S.D. N.Y. 1963) 36, 37

New Amsterdam Casualty Co. v. Waller, 323 F.2d 20 (4th Cir. 1963), cert. denied 376 U.S. 963 (1964) 41

Northern Pacific Ry. Co. v. United States, 356 U.S. 1, 78 S.Ct. 514, 2 L.Ed. 2d 545 (1958) 27

Paramount Film Dist. Corp. v. Village Theatre, Inc., 228 F.2d 721 (10th Cir. 1956) 35

Radiant Burners v. People's Gas Company, 364 U.S. 656, 81 S.Ct. 365, 5 L.Ed. 2d 358 (1960) 19, 20

Silver v. New York Stock Exchange, 373 U.S. 341, 83 S.Ct. 1246, 10 L.Ed. 2d 389 ..... 19, 20

Slavenburg Corp. v. Boston Insurance Company, 30 F.R.D. 123 (S.D. N.Y. 1962) 41, 43

Sunkist Growers, Inc. v. Winckler & Smith Citrus Products Co., 370 U.S. 19, 82 S.Ct. 1130, 8 L.Ed. 2d 305 (1962) 35

Times-Picayune Pub. Co. v. United States, 345 U.S. 594, 73 S.Ct. 872, 97 L.Ed. 1277 (1953) 34

Town of Texhoma ex rel. Versluis v. Neild, 9 F.R.D. 739 (D. Okla. 1943) 43

Union Circulation Company v. FTC, 241 F.2d 652 (2d Cir. 1957) 24-25

PAGE

Union Leader Corp. v. Newspapers of New England, Inc., 180 F.Supp. 125 (D. Mass. 1960), affirmed 284 F.2d 582 (1st Cir. 1960), cert. denied 365 U.S. 833 (1961) 35

United States v. Insurance Board of Cleveland, 144 F. Supp. 684 (N.D. Ohio 1956); *ibid,* 188 F.Supp. 949 (N.D. Ohio 1960) 25, 26-27

United States v. L. D. Caulk Co., 114 F.Supp. 939 (D. Dela. 1953) 43

United States v. New Orleans Insurance Exchange, 148 F. Supp. 915 (E.D. La. 1957), affirmed per curiam 355 U.S. 22 (1957) 35

United States v. United States Trotting Association, 1960 Trade Cases ¶ 69,761 (S.D. Ohio 1960) 25, 26

Walker Process Equipment, Inc. v. Food Machinery and Chemical Corp., 382 U.S. 172 (1965) 23

Constitutional Provision, Statutes, and Federal Rules Cited

Seventh Amendment, Constitution of the United States 4, 33

F.R.C.P. 15(d) 5, 41, 43

F.R.C.P. 16 ..... 5, 41, 42, 43

15 U.S.C.A. § 1 ..... 4, 33

15 U.S.C.A. § 2 ..... 4, 33, 34

15 U.S.C.A. § 15 8

15 U.S.C.A. § 26 8

28 U.S.C.A. § 1254(1) 2

28 U.S.C.A. § 1337 8